UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,959	06/21/2006	Tsuneo Nakata	U2054.0156	9615
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 04/27/201 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadway	,	CEHIC, KENAN		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2473	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/526,959	NAKATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KENAN CEHIC	2473	

	KENAN CEHIC	2473	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Col:		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Shoot 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2473			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For claim 1, the applicant argues that the combination of Prehoefer and Dawson does not disclose separate flows for retransmission and for sequence control. As support for this, the applicant points out that sequential numbers in both references are referring to TCP sequence numbers. The examiner disagrees. Prehoefer clearly states in the examiner pointed out paragraphs 95 (and throughout the Prehoefer) that the sequence number is related to and inserted into IP packets. These sequence numbers are used for retransmission control as disclosed by Prehoefer. Prehoefer discloses an TCP tunnel (TUN2), which runs on top of the IP tunnel (TUN1), where TCP sequence numbers are not discussed. Dawson on the other hand discloses sequence numbers that are related to TCP packets. These sequence numbers are used to order the data in the correct sequence.